



Palindrome Data

Capability Statement

Jan 2025



PALINDROME DATA

Agenda

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Case Study: Nigeria
- 3. Techniques and Tools



Palindrome Data is an African HealthTech Implementor delivering AI Solutions to Our Communities.



Mission: Deliver Differentiated Care and Personalised Healthcare Experiences to Improve Health Outcomes.



Lesedi Al





•_•

Lesedi AI: The Magic in the Middle





- 1. Identify gaps and opportunities by feeding client, clinic and community data to *Recommendation Engine*
- 2. Engineer super-queries for those less experienced with Data Analytics, AI, and LLMs.
- 3. Prime LLM with additional context such as program SOPs, Policies, and Guidelines



Al as a Utility

- Programs need to be 10x more effective over the next 3 years
 - Existing approaches have plateaued
 - (We're at 4x using AI)
- Al will get better gradually, and then suddenly
 - To be ready for the future, clinics need to be leveraging existing AI capabilities to keep up
 - Users need time to figure out new technology and to develop their own solutions





Closing the Implementation Gap

Knowledge (Ability) and Motivation (Willingness)

- Programs are struggling to systematically roll out policies, guidelines and SOPs at scale in the real world
- Healthcare workers are overwhelmed and uncertain about all the decisions they're having to make

 \circ clients X touchpoints X interventions

 Burn out results in a vicious cycle of low morale, complacency, and atrophy



Lesedi Al uses Machine Learning & Profiling

Turn Policy into Implementation



Automates data analytics, M&E, and program intelligence, co-piloting with healthcare workers



Empowers healthcare workers with relevant, actionable recommendations and quality improvement plans (QIPs)

Lesedi AI: Example Connecting the Cs



Community

Lesedi AI identifies the Athlone subdistrict as having lower testing rates and higher positivity rates than the provincial average.

Lesedi Al Action:

Allocates QIP task to the district manager to transfer 5,000 test kits from Lansdown (adjacent subdistrict) to Hanover Park.

Clinic

Lesedi Al flags that Hanover Park Day Hospital and CHC are only testing 20% of pregnant women, well below the neighbouring clinics

Lesedi Al Action:

Generate a personalised WhatsApp messages for the Hanover Park ANC nurses and midwives to inform them that additional test kits will be arriving and that staff need to be trained how to use them. Client

Lesedi AI recognises that Lethabo is attending an ANC visit at Hanover Park CHC and has not previously been tested for HIV.

Lesedi Al Action:

Sends the receptionist, clinician, and case manager on the day to encourage/ensure Lethabo gets offered an HIV test during her appointment

IMPACT OUTCOMES THROUGH CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT.

The Lesedi AI ensures that every step in the journey reinforces the next, driving better outcomes for every individual.





"This is my accountability tool"

The Problem We're over-servicing most patients. We're under-servicing 20%-30%.

Providers can't easily anticipate which group patients fall into and patients migrate between risk groups over time.

> Providers haven't figured out how to systematically differentiate care

People have different challenges over time

25% of clients change risk group from one visit to the next which can double/half their risk of ITT



- Historic risk triaging over-leveraged static features like age, gender, key pops, etc.
- Our recommendations were personalised, actionable, and easy to follow for inexperienced, novice counselors
- Clear systematic recommendations reduced how overwhelmed case managers felt about
 - who of their 300-500 clients
 - what interventions to prescribe (~25 possibilities)
 - when they needed to make changes!

People have different challenges over time



IIT Risk typically halves/doubles as patients migrates between groups

IIT Rates by Risk Group						
Low	High					
7.5%	18.0%	34.4%				

Our Risk Scoring and Patient Profiling guide how to best deliver timely personalised experiences



Case Study: Nigeria





Executive Summary

Our Study In Nigeria Across 49 Facilities Consisted Of Three Groups

- 1. Control: SoC Case Management App with no patient risk scores
- 2. *Intervention*: Case Management App with patient risk scores; later versions had suggested interventions based on risk scores
- 3. *Enrolled*: the case manager has viewed the patient risk page OR agreed/disagreed with the risk score OR assigned an intervention from the recommendations presented

Reduction in ITT (Pre vs. During Study):

- 1. Control: 1.26%
- 2. Intervention: 2.72% (~2x better than control)
- 3. Enrolled: 5.05% (~4x better than control)

Why Our Approach Works: Dynamic Risk Scoring, Effective Change Management, and Behavioral Nudges

- Traditional demographics (age, gender, key pops, etc.) are static and do not consider the patients' behaviour and time-sensitive realities. Our risk scoring is dynamic and primarily leverages behaviour patterns and a wider range of factors.
- We offered guided nudges on which interventions specific patients should receive, which helped case managers differentiate their care and intervention assignments.
- Systematically scoring all patients allowed us to measure and visualise whether interventions were going to the right patients at the right time. By tracking and re-educating facility staff, we increased our influence and improved the quality of care.

Equipping Case Managers with Patient Risk Information Improves Consultations and Lives





Originally, Most Interventions were Weakly Differentiated



Guided Nudges Helped Case Managers Differentiate their Care and Intervention Assignments

By offering stronger guidance and suggested interventions we saw a significant increase in differentiated care!





Nudges Helped Case Managers Differentiate their Care and Intervention Assignments

By offering stronger guidance and suggested interventions we saw an increase in differentiated care!

Behavioral Change Result Case managers spent 76% more time on the list of high-risk patients on average than on the list of low-risk patients.

← Risk Score Card	
Zama Maoto (Active) HN042 DEMO/UID/042 Itis client will likely not remain in care.	
Client is Medium Risk, do you agree? Yes	No
Score card details Risk score details	~
🥩 Recommend an intervention below	
Manage Lightly/Maintain Support Medium Risk	~
Manage Remotely/Reduce Support	~
Manage Closely/Increase Support High Risk	~

The Study had 19 Control Sites and 30 Intervention Sites with 104,065 visits

Control SoC Case Management App with no patient risk scores (53,242 visits, 47.7%) Intervention

Case Management App with patient risk scores (58,294 visits, 52.3%)

Enrolled

(28,529 visits, 25.6%)



Risk Scoring Reduced IIT Fourfold for Enrolled Patients*



IIT Reduction by Client Group

- Control: 1.26%
- Intervention: 2.72%
 - ~2x better than control
- Enrolled: 5.05%
 - ~4x better than control

*CM has ever

- viewed client risk page
- provided feedback on patient's risk score
- recorded an intervention in the app for that patient

Lesedi Al Automating Insights and Program Recommendations



2. Impact of EMR Risk Model

to improve Retention

The implementation of the EMR risk model has significantly enhanced patient care by identifying high-risk individuals and facilitating timely interventions, leading to improved health outcomes across targeted areas.



Note: Intervention site data represents (a) pre-study: all visits at intervention sites and (b) during study: only visits at intervention sites where the risk score was engaged with.





Reduction in treatment interruptions

70%

More HCW time on High-risk cases

96%

AI Predictions win healthworker approval



We use machine learning and predictive modeling to analyze HIV treatment, retention, and viral suppression patterns across Sub-Saharan Africa

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR COMMUNICABLE DISEASES



scientific reports	PLO	Global Public Health			Publish Abo	ut Browse		
Explore content Y About the journal Y Publish with us Y								
nature > scientific reports > articles > article	G OPEN RESEARC	NACCESS 🙋 PEER-REVIEWED						
Article Open access Published: 26 July 2022	Hist	Historical visit attendance as predictor of treatment interruption in South African HIV patients: Extension of a						
Applying machine learning and predictive modeling to	inte							
retention and viral suppression in South African HIV	vali	dated machir	learnir	ng model			BMI Yale	
treatment cohorts	Rachel Olivia K	Rachel T. Esra 🖬, Jacques Carstens, Janne Estill, Ricky Stoch, Sue Le Roux, Tonderai Mabuto, Michael Eisenstein,					oratory	
Mhairi Maskew 🖾, Kieran Sharpey-Schafer, Lucien De Voux, Thomas Crompton, Jacob Bor, Marcus	Publishe	ed: July 19, 2023 • https://d	pi.org/10.1371/journ	nal.pgph.0002105				
Rennick, Admire Chirowodza, Jacqui Miot, Seithati Molefi, Chuka Onaga, Pappie Majuba, Ian Sanne &	Article	Article Authors Metrics Comments Media Coverage Peer Review						
Pedro Pisa								
Scientific Reports 12, Article number: 12715 (2022) Cite this article	*	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l					Follow this preprint	
6458 Accesses 8 Altmetric Metrics				Machine learning	g to predict re	etention and vi	iral suppression in South African HIV	
Cite Validation and Improvement of	a Machine Learning			treatment cohor	rts			
< Model to Predict Interruptions in	n Antiretroviral			D M Maskew, K Sharp	ev-Schafer, L De Vo	oux, I Bor, M Rennic	k, T Crompton, P Maiuba, I Sanne, PT Pisa, I Miot	
Share Treatment in South Africa					doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.03.21251100			
★ Esra, Rachel MPH, MSC ^{a,b} ; Carstens, Jacques BSC ^c ; Le Roux, Sue M Favorites BSC ^d : Keiser, Olivia PhD ^a · Orel. Frol MSC ^a · Merzouki Aziza PhD ^a · D	A ^d ; Mabuto, Tonderai PhD ^d ; Eisenstein, I e Voux, Lucien MBA ^c : Maskew, Mhari PhI	Michael D ^e :	Now published in Scientific Reports doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-16062-0			16062-0		
Sharpey-Schafer, Kieran MSc ^c		<i>,</i>		Abstract Full Tex	vt Info/History	Motrice		
Permissions Author Information⊗					AL INIO/T IISLOT y	i reu les		
JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes 92(1):p 4 10.1097/QAI.000000000003108	12-49, January 1, 2023. DOI:							

Our collaborators include Jhpiego, The Aurum Institute, Right to Care, ANOVA Health Institute, the Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office (HE2RO), Wits University



How to deliver personalised experiences?

Systematically serve patients with the **right interventions** at the **right time**





Impact Calculator

Mild Performing Facilities

Cost	Phase	Cost (USD)	Facilities	Patient Decisions	Outcomes Improved	Cost per Decision	Cost per Outcome Improved
Fixed	Set Up	\$350,000	50	62,500	523	\$5.60	\$670
Variable	Ongoing Maintenance cost per year	\$120,000	550	687,500	5,748	\$0.17	\$21
Poor Performing	g Facilities						
Cost	Phase	Cost (USD)	Facilities	Patient Decisions	Outcomes Improved	Cost per Decision	Cost per Outcome Improved
Fixed	Set Up	\$350,000	50	62,500	950	\$5.60	\$368
Variable	Ongoing Maintenance	\$120,000	550	687,500	10,450	\$0.17	\$11



Impact Calculator

Mild Adheren	nce
--------------	-----

Cost	Phase	Cost (USD)	Facilities	Patient Decisions	Outcomes Improved	Cost per Decision	Cost per Outcome Improved
Fixed	Set Up & Scale	\$350,000	500	1,250,000	10,450	\$0.28	\$33
Variable	Ongoing Maintenance cost per year	\$120,000	500	6,250,000	52,250	\$0.02	\$2
Poor Adherenc	ce		+				
Cost	Phase	Cost (USD)	Facilities	Patient Decisions	Outcomes Improved	Cost per Decision	Cost per Outcome Improved
Fixed	Set Up	\$350,000	500	1,250,000	19,000	\$0.28	\$18
Variable	Ongoing Maintenance cost per year	\$120,000	500	6,250,000	95,000	\$0.02	\$1



Techniques and Tools





1. Bringing Data together



Community Data

Helps us understand the local context and major gaps (e.g., Census, DHS, PEPFAR)



Aggregate Health Indicators

Health facility indicators help understand the throughput from the supply / demand locally. (e.g., DHIS2, Ideal Clinic)



Anonymised EMR Data: Tx, Rx and lab Treatment-level data can show precise local challenges as well as behavioural patterns affecting outcomes. (e.g., Tier, CCMDD)





Primary Techniques What we do and how we do it





Primary Techniques What we do and how we do it

1. Predictive Analytics (Risk Scoring)

Used to **anticipate behavior** and **adverse events** such as payment defaulting, illness, treatment disengagement or, death.







Primary Techniques What we do and how we do it

2. Advanced Segmentation

Used to conduct **look-alike-modelling** and **micro-profiling** to **influence outcome** or assign optimal **interventions for behavioral change**





Primary Techniques What we do and How we do it

3. Large Language Models (LLMs)

Custom built and **fine-tuned LLMs** for specific domains/use cases to deliver **actionable,context specific, humanized messaging** and query responses






Measuring click-through rates for Targeted Online Ads

Maternity Pants **During** Pregnancy

2.

Maternity Pants After Pregnancy

(20)

Measuring click-through rates for Targeted Online Ads

Maternity Pants **During** Pregnancy

2.

Diapers After Pregnancy

Angel.

20



The Three Challenges we are Addressing



1. Consultations are, reactive, untailored and inefficient.



2. The backoffice is... backed up and unorganized.



3. Resources and interventions are mobilized reactively, and are not systematically measured, and actioned.



Delivering at Three Levels

Facility Backoffice

09:50 🔀 🖬	🕷 🕾 📶 94% i
← Registered	Be 🭳 🎆
Woman Name	Date Of Registration
Amora Gil	31/05/2022
Angie Yee	04/07/2022
Betsie Kingstor	31/05/2022
Cathy Charles	15/06/2022
Daffy Duck	24/06/2022
Dann Queen	28/06/2022
Debra Rain	24/06/2022
Deepika Pad	23/07/2022
Emily Watson	21/03/2022
and the second second	
111	0 <



Point of Care

ProgramManagement (Lesedi)





Consultations are untailored and are unnecessarily tedious for counsellors & the majority of patients









2. The backoffice is... backed up and unorganized



 Patient appointment list (HIV)

 Selected level:
 Athlone CHC

 Date generated:
 2023/07/27

 Period:
 2023/07/31 - 2023/08/04

 Number of records:
 \$98

Appointment	Last visit date	(months)	load count	on TR	restude	
uuto		(montary)	Iouu count			
2023/07/31	2023/07/03	38,2	225	5	28/03/2024	
2023/07/31	2023/06/05	58,3	72198	12	28/03/2025	
2023/07/31	2023/05/09	129,1	49	10	28/03/2026	
2023/07/31	2023/06/05	141,6	12182	20	28/03/2027	
2023/07/31	2023/06/05	101,9	23	9	28/03/2028	
2023/07/31	2023/07/18	12,6	19	22	28/03/2029	
2023/07/31	2023/07/10	6,4	454	9	28/03/2030	
2023/07/31	2023/05/15	74,3	19	16	28/03/2031	
2023/08/01	2023/06/06	11,0	24	20	28/03/2032	
2023/08/01	2023/06/06	186,3	19	14	28/03/2033	
2023/08/01	2023/05/08	83,9	393	10	28/03/2034	

Signed off by:	
Risk Score Category	Treatment success driver
High	Appointment Date: Day of the month (31.00), Duration on ART (Months) (38.20), Last VL count (225.00)
High	Last VL count (72198.00), DMOC (nan), Appointment Date: Day of the week (0)
High	Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
High	Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
High	Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
Low	Duration on ART (Months) (12.60), Days since last visit (13.00), Appointment Date: Day of the month (31.00)
High	Appointment Date: Day of the month (31.00), Last VL count (454.00), Days since last visit (21.00)
High	Days since last visit (77.00), Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan)
Low	Appointment Date: Day of the month (1.00), Test due (nan), Appointment Date: Day of the week (1)
Mid	Appointment Date: Day of the month (1.00), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
High	Appointment Date: Day of the month (1.00), DMOC (nan), Test due (Viral Load)





3. Resources and interventions are assigned reactively, and are not systematically tracked, optimised, and actioned

A recommendation engine that continually improves and realises the gains of interventions, data collection, and program design through AI





1. Clinic EMR Integration

30 facilities serving **52,000 patients** over two states to grow adoption and compare R-Y-G profiles across sites and interventions.





2. Backoffice Prioritization



15 high volume sites, serving **26,000 patients,** to adapt the technology South Africa's complex clinical settings.

-	b P	atient ap	pointment I	ist (HIV)									
	Se Da	elected level ate generate	ed: 2023/07/2	27		Si De	igned off by: esignation:						
older	Alternate	mber of rec	cords: ⁷ 98 Surname, Name	DMOC	Service point	Appointment	Last visit	Duration on	Last	Duration on	Test due	Risk Score Category	Treatment success drivers
umher	numher					date	date	28.2	viral 225	TB		High	Appointment Date: Day of the month (31.00), Duration
999	1000	John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/06/05	58,3	72198			Low	Last VL count (72198.00), DMOC (nan), Appointment Date: Day of the week (0)
999	100	1 John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/05/09	129,1	49			Low	Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
999	1002	2 John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/06/05	141,6	12182			Low	Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
999	1003	3 John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/06/05	101,9	23			High	Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)
999	1004	John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/07/18	12,6	19			Low	Duration on ART (Months) (12.60), Days since last visit (13.00), Appointment Date: Day of the month (31.00)
999	1005	5 John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/07/10	6,4	454			High	Appointment Date: Day of the month (31.00), Last VL count (454.00), Days since last visit (21.00)
999	1006	3 John Doe				2023/07/31	2023/05/15	74,3	19			High	Days since last visit (77.00), Appointment Date: Day of the week (0), DMOC (nan)
999	1007	7 John Doe				2023/08/01	2023/06/06	11,0	24			Low	Appointment Date: Day of the month (1.00), Test due (nan), Appointment Date: Day of the week (1)
999	1008	3 John Doe				2023/08/01	2023/06/06	186,3	19			Mid	Appointment Date: Day of the month (1.00), DMOC (nan), Test due (nan)

Facility Level Automation: Patients can be prioritised for intervention, *before* they arrive

A see	Patien	t appoin	tment	list (HI	V, TB)						
	Selected	level:	Mathib	Mathibestad Clinic Signed off by:							
	Date gen	erated:	15/05/	2022					Designa	tion:	
A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONTRACTOR O	Period:		02/04/	2022 - 0	9/042022						Top 10%
	Number o	f records:	13								
Folder number	Surname, Name	Gender	Age	DMOC	Appointment date	Last visit date	Duration on ART (months)	Last Viral load count	Test due	Risk Group	Risk Driver
File number	Wolf, Mira	Female	17	DMOC	02/04/2022	05/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	Yes	High Risk	Young, missed appointments, first 3 months in care
File number	Wiley, Desirae	Female	24	DMOC	04/04/2022	07/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	Yes	High Risk	Young, missed appointments, returning interrupter
File number	Dikeng, Mpho	Male	22	DMOC	03/04/2022	06/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Mid Risk	Young, first 3 months in care
File number	Hodge, Illiana	Female	14	DMOC	02/04/2022	05/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	Yes	Mid Risk	Young, 1 missed appointment
File number	Ortega, Chase	Male	35	DMOC	04/04/2022	07/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Mid Risk	Missed appointments
File number	Mcdaniel, Tara	Female	42	DMOC	02/04/2022	05/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	Yes	Mid Risk	Missed appointments
File number	Nhlapho, Sizwe	Male	28	DMOC	04/04/2022	07/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Mid Risk	Mid-range VL, first 3 months in care
File number	Jarvis, Leandra	Female	33	DMOC	03/04/2022	06/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Low Risk	Mid-age, prompt & loyal
File number	Monrie, Nyssa	Female	22	DMOC	02/04/2022	04/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Low Risk	Undetectable VL, 6+ months in care
File number	Lott, Clarke	Male	55	DMOC	03/04/2022	06/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Low Risk	Older, 6+ months in care
File number	Andrews, Jeremy	Male	37	DMOC	02/04/2022	05/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	Yes	Low Risk	Mid-age, prompt & loyal
File number	Moss, Johan	Male	40	DMOC	02/04/2022	04/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Low Risk	Mid-age, undetectable VL
File number	Ndlovu, Thandi	Female	31	DMOC	04/04/2022	07/05/2022	Duration on ART	Last VL	No	Low Risk	Mid-age, prompt & loyal



PALINDROME DATA

Measurable Behavioral Change





Providers Differentiated Care by Risk Profile





High-Risk Patient Files Prioritised when Picked and Filed





Designing for the average patient will result in average results

Contact Us

info@palindrome.org.za linkedin.com/company/palindromedata





*Compared to low-risk



Differentiation of Care

- Low-risk Intervention → Low-risk Individual: Strong
- High-risk Intervention →
 High-risk Individual: Weak



Differentiation of Care

- 1. Most interventions are weakly differentiated
- 2. Strong
 - a. MMD, Fast Track \rightarrow Low-risk
 - b. Nutrition, Social Services, GBV, Support Group \rightarrow Med-risk
 - **c.** Disclosure, After Hours → High-risk







When the Risk Scores are Used, Outcomes Improve





The Support Planner has assisted in aiding healthcare professionals start to assign intensive interventions, such as case management, to mostly high risk clients







<u>March 2024</u>: High risk patients have always been assigned case management. Medium and low risk patients are also



Extensive and frequent in-field user training

<u>June 2024</u>: Case management is starting to be assigned to mostly high risk patients

The Support Planner is enabling healthcare staff to prioritise patients according to their risk of LTFU. This behaviour is improving.

2 Folder Picking Increasing differentiation across risk profiles

March 2024: Low risk patients were being prioritized over high risk patients

Extensive and frequent in-field user training

<u>July 2024</u>: Improvement in differentiation as high risk patients are being prioritized over low risk patients





We are still seeing persistently weak differentiation of telephonic reminders across risk profiles



Telephonic Reminders

3

Weak differentiation across risk profiles



<u>March - June 2024</u>: Little to no differentiation for telephonic reminders across the risk profiles

Telephonic reminders is an easy and quick intervention in resource-constrained facilities. It can be effective *given* the risk profile

MG Impact

	Count			Percentage of	the interventi	on (Likelihood	Percentage of risk group (Likelihood of			
				of assigning t	o X risk given i	ntervention)	assigning X given X risk)			
risk_category	High Risk	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Medium Risk	High Risk	Low Risk	Medium Risk	
service										
Adherence Counselling and Treatment Support	651	6344	4453	5.7	55.4	38.9	16.0	14.9	15.2	
CBM1 - Community pharmacy ART refill	30	190	176	7.6	48.0	44.4	0.7	0.4	0.6	
CBM2 - Community ART Refill Group: Healthcare Worker led	9	110	69	4.8	58.5	36.7	0.2	0.3	0.2	
CBM3 - Community ART Refill Group: PLHIV led	6	29	30	9.2	44.6	46.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	
CBM4 - Adolescent Community ART/ peer-led groups	1	20	24	2.2	44.4	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	
CBM5 - Home delivery	16	224	124	4.4	61.5	34.1	0.4	0.5	0.4	
CBM6 - One Stop Shop	0	14	14	0.0	5.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Counselling on Disclosure	415	3377	2589	6.5	52.9	40.6	10.2	7.9	8.9	
Enhanced Adherence Counselling for Virally Unsuppressed Clients	189	1517	1205	6.5	52.1	41.4	4.6	3.6	4.1	
FBM1 - Fast-track	106	2780	- 24	2,6	67.2	30.2	2.6	6.5	4.3	
FBM2 - Facility ART group: HCW led	98	880	99	5.8	52.5	41.7	2.4	2.1	2.4	
FBM3 - Facility ART group: Support group led	50	170	168	12.9	43.8	43.3	1.2	0.4	0.6	
FBM4 - Decentralization (Hub and Spoke)		Z-,	15	11.4	54.5	34.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	
FBM5 - After hours	32	00	113	9.3	58.0	32.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	
FBM6 - Weekends and Public Holidays	4	20	21	8.9	44.4	46.7	0.1	0.0	0.1	
FBM7 - Children/Teen/Adolescent Club (Peer managed)		19	39	15.9	27.5	56.5	0.3	0.0	0.1	
FBM8 - Mother infant pair/Mentor mother led	4	16	16	11.1	44.4	44.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	
Identification of Treatment Partners/Support Groups	224	2906	1823	4.5	58.7	36.8	5.5	6.8	6.2	
Multi Month Dispensing	343	4475	2763	4.5	59.0	36.4	8.4	10.5	9.5	
Provide mental health and Psychosocial support services (MHPSS)	137	1788	1118	4.5	58.8	36.7	3.4	4.2	3.8	
Refer for Gender-based Violence Care	85	799	696	5.4	50.6	44.1	2.1	1.9	2.4	
Refer for Nutrition Services	99	763	662	6.5	50.1	43.4	2.4	1.8	2.3	
Refer for Social Services	90	743	654	6.1	50.0	44.0	2.2	1.7	2.2	
Refer to OVC Partner	79	187	370	12.4	29.4	58.2	1.9	0.4	1.3	
Revalidate phone number and descriptive address	395	4787	3075	4.8	58.0	37.2	9.7	11.2	10.5	
Service Integration and Synchronisation (Viral Load Bleeding Refills and other services)	314	3790	2350	4.9	58.7	36.4	7.7	8.9	8.0	
Treatment Preparation	236	2094	1716	5.8	51.8	42.4	5.8	4.9	5.9	
Use of Pre-Appointment and Frequent Reminders	441	4384	2986	5.6	56.1	38.2	10.8	10.3	10.2	



A Unified Company Vision!

- Villgro has helped Palindrome develop a deep understanding of who we are and what we want to offer the world.
- They helped us create a coherent vision for the company, enabling us to tell our story clearly enough for broader and larger markets.
- The Villgro team has nurtured us to think bigger, providing customised support that directly fits where we are.

Evidence

Published

1. Nature Scientific Reports Applying machine learning and predictive modeling to retention and viral suppression in South African HIV treatment cohorts [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16062-0]

2. JAIDS

Validation and improvement of a machine learning model to predict interruptions in antiretroviral treatment in South Africa [https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.00000000003108]

3. PLOS

Historical visit attendance as predictor of treatment interruption in South African HIV patients: Relating linear risk factors to a validated machine learning model

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0002105]

scientific reports

Check for updates

www.nature.com/scientificreports

OPEN Applying machine learning and predictive modeling to retention and viral suppression in South African HIV treatment cohorts

Mhairi Maskew^{1,5]}, Kieran Sharpey-Schafer², Lucien De Voux², Thomas Crompton³, Jacob Bor^{1,4,5}, Marcus Rennick³, Admire Chirowodza³, Jacqui Miot¹, Seithati Molefi³, Chuka Onaga³, Pappie Majuba³, Ian Sanne^{1,3} & Pedro Pisa^{3,6}

Validation and improvement of a machine learning model to predict interruptions in antiretroviral treatment in South Africa

Esra R^{1,2§}, Carstens J³, Le Roux S⁴, Mabuto T⁴, Eisenstein M⁴, Keiser O¹, Orel E¹, Merzouki A¹, De Voux L³, Maskew M⁵, Sharpey-Schafer K³

- 1 University of Geneva, Institute of Global Health, Chemin des Mines 9, 1202 Genève, Switzerland
- 2 Imperial College of London
- 3 Palindrome Data, Cape Town, South Africa
- 4 The Aurum Institute. 29 Queens Road, Parktown, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- 5 Health Economics and Epidemiology Research Office, Department of Internal Medicine, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand



III 🖸 🖸 🛡 🖸 I 💿 M III O 🧹





Routine / Surveillance Databases

Built for targets at scale **Few Qs, so scalable, but lacks context**

Research Studies



Many rich questions

Slow & Low (n), so hard to know if it replicate & resonate



Science & Methodology







[Maskew et al, 2022, https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-022-16062-0]



<u>Esra et al (2023) https://doi.org/10.1097/qai.000000000003108</u>



How do we *operationalise* in our under-resourced clinics?



o Feedback From Healthcare Workers







Applied at 3 levels

Facility: Who needs to be prioritised?

09:50 🕱 🖬	¥ ⊜⊪	94%।				
← Registered	d Be 🔍 🎆					
Woman Name	Date Of Registration					
Amora Gil	31/05/2022					
Angie Yee	04/07/2022					
Betsie Kingstor	31/05/2022					
Cathy Charles	15/06/2022					
Daffy Duck	24/06/2022					
Dann Queen	28/06/2022					
Debra Rain	24/06/2022					
Deepika Pad	23/07/2022					
Emily Watson	21/03/2022					
estation and a	estections.					
111	0 <					



Point of Care: What is the client struggling with?



Programme Design: Where are segments over/under served?





Future Work





Opportunities we're raising funds for next 24 months

Project	Description	months	budget
South Africa	We've built a local scoring service integrated with local EMR, as well as a making paper or app-based algorithm for implementers. We'd like to extend the tools to package them up with 3 models: IIT, VL and pregnancy, for adoption by 3 more partners (serving ~100k patients)	9	\$264,375
South Africa	With the change in MMD guidelines, more patients are picking up from 3rd party pharmacies. We've been discussing with the database provider - being able to support wellness and risk scores for this large and growing cohort of patients, being able to evaluate differentiated impact of patient profiles both in and out of the clinic.	12	\$352,500
Nigeria Partner Expansion	2nd partner Nigeria implementation & study, leveraging the current integration with the national EMR, and localisation of the intervention matching (up to 100,000 patients). Executing this through local ML partners we can package the solution for futher natural adoption and expansion into integrated programmes.	18	\$528,750
New HIV Models For Botswana & Kenya	We're engaging with HIV imp orgs in Botswana and in Kenya, and need to first validate a local model and a tool integration POC before roll out. Per country localisation we can move quickly through our 3 set up phases (discovery, modelling, POC validation) to serve up to ~10k patients.	6	\$176,250
LISA Platform Investment	For the Nigeria study, on the side we've begun the work on an automated enterprise platform for both serving predictions, matching interventions as well as measuring impact thereof. The LISA infrastructure would allow us to maintain, monitor and serve models, across programme areas to EMRs across the region.	20	\$881,250

(e



Health worker owns the final DSD decision

Does this client need referal to any	services?			
Refer to CSTO/social worker	Decant Patients	3 month Repeat	Space & Fast Lane	Choose Appt Date
Other:	Adherence Counselina	Disclosure Assistance	Refer to Case Manager	None

Do you agree with the score for this client?	Yes	No	
What group should this client be in?	low-score	mid-score	high-score

Comments:	Score-Study-ID#
	Health Worker Initials


Acknowledgments

Acknowledgements of our Research & <u>Funding Partners</u>



















Archetyped, Tailored, Targeted Interventions



HCW intuitively prescribed Differentiated models by risk profile



